

REPORT OF THE  
TWENTY-FIFTH SESSION OF THE IPCC BUREAU  
GENEVA, 15-16 April 2002

**1. OPENING OF THE SESSION (agenda item 1)**

1.1 The Session was opened at 10.10 hours in Salle 3 of the International Conference Centre, 15, rue de Varembé, Geneva, by the Chairman, Dr Robert T. Watson. There was considerable discussion that resulted in amending the agenda to include time for discussion of the procedures for the elections to be held in the forthcoming Nineteenth Session of the Panel. The Secretary expressed his reservations regarding the propriety of the outgoing Bureau, many of whose members are nominated for re-election, discussing matters related to the Bureau election. After many interventions by Bureau members during a discussion of election process the Chairman ruled that the discussions would be confined to the process, and that no decisions on the matter could be made by the Bureau. Several other minor amendments were made to the draft agenda, and the agenda, as approved, is attached at Appendix A.

**2. APPROVAL OF THE DRAFT REPORT OF THE TWENTY-FOURTH SESSION OF THE BUREAU (agenda item 2)**

2.1 There was a lengthy discussion of the Report of the 24<sup>th</sup> Session of the Bureau. Minor amendments were made to some sections and others were re-drafted more substantially.

2.2 It was felt that too much time had elapsed between the 24<sup>th</sup> Session and consideration of the Report of that Session. Furthermore it was noted that the Bureau, as currently composed, may cease to exist by the end of this week, and that it would need to consider its Report before the week's end. It was agreed that in future the Bureau should aim to consider a draft report at the end of its Session. For this, the 25<sup>th</sup> Session, the Secretariat should aim to have available a draft report for consideration by the Bureau at 13.00 hours on Thursday 18 April.

**3. FINALIZATION OF THE DRAFT TECHNICAL PAPER ON CLIMATE CHANGE AND BIODIVERSITY (agenda item 3)**

3.1 The Session considered the government comments on the draft Technical Paper on Climate Change and Biodiversity, and the revisions made the Chair and Coordinating Lead Authors to accommodate those comments. The Session worked through the revised draft document, paragraph-by-paragraph, agreeing a final version.

**4. MARRAKECH ACCORDS REQUESTS (agenda item 4)**

4.1 The Chair introduced this agenda item noting that while the Panel would need to decide how to address the issues, the Bureau needs to consider what advice it might give to the Panel.

**4.2 Geological Carbon Storage Technologies**

4.2.1 In speaking to the issue Dr Metz, co-chair of WG III made the following points:

- (a) The informal request to the IPCC is to prepare a "technical paper" on geological carbon storage technologies. It is expected that a formal request for a report/paper from the IPCC would occur at COP/MOP-2;
- (b) Given the time likely to elapse between completion of the TAR and completion of a Technical Report on geological carbon storage technologies it could be expected that much of the information in the TAR would be outdated. This being the case, a Special Report would be more appropriate;
- (c) Noting the difference in subject matter between geological carbon storage technologies and technologies for carbon storage in the oceans, it is considered preferable not to deal with ocean storage in the Report;
- (d) Four options present themselves:
  - (A) The Panel decides to proceed immediately with the preparation of a Special Report. In this case it would need to delegate to the Bureau the task of preparing the Report outline and a list of authors;

- (B) Wait for the Kyoto Protocol to come into force, in which case the Panel, at its plenary in 2003, could take the decision on the preparation of the Report;
- (C) Wait for the formal request from COP/MOP, in which case preparation of the Report could begin to overlap significantly with the Fourth Assessment. This may make its preparation difficult; or,
- (D) Include treatment of the issue in the Fourth Assessment Report.

4.2.2 In the discussion that followed it was noted that the IPCC could decide to proceed with a report on geological capture and storage of carbon without a formal request if it considered the matter of sufficient importance. There was a clear consensus that if a report is to be produced it should be a Special Report. There was also agreement that a workshop could profitably be held late this year, or early 2003, to explore the issues.

4.2.3 There was no clear agreement as to whether the deep ocean sequestration issues should be tackled in the Special Report. There were arguments advanced that the technologies for geological sequestration of carbon should be considered in the context of other technologies for reducing carbon emissions (eg., improved insulation, better use of passive solar heating and the like), and that one or more workshops may be needed to support this work.

4.2.4 The Session concluded noting that it was an important issue that would need to be resolved by the Panel.

### **4.3 LULUCF Related Issues**

4.3.1 The TFI co-chair, Mr Taka Hiraishi, spoke to these issues. In relation to Task 1 he noted that a zero-order draft of Chapter 2 would be available on the Web shortly. Furthermore, he advised that he would seek from the Panel additional financial resources to enable an additional meeting in September 2002 that would enable greater involvement of experts from developing countries and countries with economies in transition.

4.3.2 In relation to Task 2 the co-chair advised that he would seek endorsement from the Panel of Terms of Reference, Table of Contents, the list of authors and the work programme.

4.3.3 In relation to Task 3 he advised that a Planning Group was being established including WG I co-chairs, and that additional resources were needed for proposed workshop would be held in July 2002.

4.3.4 The discussion highlighted a number of issues, including:

- (a) Have the proposed large chapters (Task 1) been properly split into more manageable sizes?
- (b) Is the development of Land Use Categories in Chapter 2 (Task 1) consistent with the approach endorsed at the Wembley meeting (18<sup>th</sup> Session)?
- (c) Is an adequate number of authors available to do the work?
- (d) Are the Tasks properly coordinated.

4.3.5 Mr Hiraishi noted the issues raised and indicated that he felt the Tasks were proceeding satisfactorily subject to Panel endorsement of the propositions he would put.

## **5. OTHER POSSIBLE SPECIAL REPORTS/TECHNICAL PAPERS (agenda item 5)**

### **5.1 Special Report on Climate and Water**

5.1.1 The Chair introduced this item, highlighting the importance of water in the climate change issues and noting some of the important initiatives occurring such as the Water Dialogue and the World Climate Program-Water.

5.1.2 The Session heard a brief presentation on the World Climate Program – Water and discussed the issue of whether the IPCC should prepare a Special Report on Climate Change and Water. There was some support for the preparation of a Special Report with the following points raised in the discussion:

- (a) Water issues associated with climate change have great social and environmental importance;
- (b) For a Special Report to be justified work would need to be done that was not covered in the TAR;
- (c) A Special Report would need to focus at the watershed level and consider, in detail, regional issues;

- (d) A proposal for a Special Report would need to be addressed within the framework of the IPCC's overall work programme;
- (e) Consideration would need to be given to the links the IPCC would form with other organizations carrying out work in the water area.

## **5.2 Technical Paper, Levels of Greenhouse Gases Preventing Dangerous Anthropogenic Interference with the Climate System**

5.2.1 Prof. Y Izrael introduced the Scoping Paper, advising the Session that, in his opinion, from the government comments 14 States had given their support, there were no objections from 10 more and three were opposed. On the matter of a workshop addressing the issues he felt that were one to be held it should not delay commencing work on the Technical Paper.

5.2.2 The discussion of the Session highlighted the contentious nature of the issues to be addressed by the paper. The need to separate scientific from policy issues was clear. Some members considered that all of the questions of the Synthesis Report addressed the issues as well as could be done at this time, and, additionally, the entire TAR was aimed at providing policy makers with the scientific guidance to enable them to determine what would be dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system.

5.2.3 There was discussion as to whether a Special Report would be more appropriate than a Technical Paper, or in fact whether the Fourth Assessment should be directed to addressing the issue. The role of a workshop in further exploring the issues, as a part of a further scoping exercise was discussed.

## **6. TASK FORCE ON NATIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORIES (TFI) (agenda item 6)**

6.1 There was a brief discussion on the procedures for agreement on NGGIP products under preparation by the Task Force. It was noted that it is proposed that chapter 1 be approved by the Panel and the other chapters accepted. It was suggested that given the nature of Chapter 1 it might better be re-titled as the document "Overview", and efforts be made to ensure that it clearly describes the scope of, and basis for, the material that follows.

## **7. IPCC COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGY (agenda item 7)**

7.1 Dr Pachauri introduced this agenda item noting the increasing rate of usage of the IPCC web site. He referred to the need for IPCC to interact with other organizations that are active in promoting the outcomes from the TAR. He made five specific proposals:

- (1) The ad-hoc group on communications be regularized;
- (2) A program of 2/3 seminars communicating IPCC results be conducted annually (~SF 20K);
- (3) A national climate day be promoted in four countries (~SF 20K);
- (4) In cooperation with the World Bank, conduct a video conference on IPCC outputs prior to COP 8; and,
- (5) Develop a media outreach plan (including press communiqués and the like) well in advance of COP 8 (~SF 45K).

7.1 The following points were made in the discussion:

- (a) The ad-hoc group should be regularized;
- (b) The IPCC needs to be careful in working with other agencies that popularize its outputs. Perhaps experts involved in the IPCC processes could informally proof the resulting material (thereby avoiding formal IPCC involvement). Documents prepared this way should have an IPCC disclaimer attached;
- (c) The delay in getting the translated IPCC documents onto the web site has been far too great;
- (d) The distribution of the TAR (paper and CD ROMS) needs to be better coordinated; and,
- (e) The outreach programme associated with the TAR needs to be very active, now.

7.3 It was concluded that the Chair of the Communications Task Force would prepare a revised paper to reflect the proposals made and the discussions held.

## **8. FINANCIAL MATTERS** (agenda item 8)

8.1 The Secretary spoke briefly to the revised budget for 2002, noting that the carryover in the Trust Fund projected at the 24<sup>th</sup> Session was SF 3.86M but at the 8<sup>th</sup> of April 2002 stood at only SF 1.8M. The Secretary further noted that budget requests for 2003 now stand at SF 6.16M.

8.2 Dr Leo Meyer, co-chair of the IPCC Financial Task Team indicated that the Panel would be asked to decide on a number of revisions to the 2002 budget, including a request from TGCIA for an additional SF130K per annum for two meetings per year.

8.3 The discussion was brief, the need for all groups to bring their budget estimates forward early was noted. The Chair commented that the demand for funds, on an annual basis, would be higher if the FAR was to be completed in a relatively short period (say 5 years) as against a longer period (say 7 years).

## **9. OTHER BUSINESS** (agenda item 9)

9.1 The issue of best practice guidelines for HFCs was not considered due to the shortage of time.

### **9.2 Procedures for IPCC Elections**

9.2.1 This item was jointly chaired by Dr Watson and Dr Richard Odingo of Kenya. Dr Watson emphasised that the purpose of this agenda item was to allow Bureau members to better understand the processes by which the forthcoming elections of the 19<sup>th</sup> Session of the Panel would be conducted.

9.2.2 There were many questions relating to the role of the IPCC Regions in establishing the composition of the next Bureau, the order of elections, the need for credentials, the need to make proposals to the Panel so that it could understand the decisions it needed to make and the options available to it, possibility of co-chairmanship for the Panel, the committees the Panel would need to establish to support the process, etc.

9.2.3 Dr Sundaraman provided background information on the process. He advised that the decisions of the Wembley Meeting (18<sup>th</sup> Session of the Panel), as they related to the matter, should stand, that the precedents established at the elections conducted in the Maldives (13<sup>th</sup> Session of the Panel) should be followed, and that the WMO's "Basic Documents" (WMO Publication No. 15) provided a set of the General Regulations that govern decisions on procedural issues in the event that consensus is not possible.

9.2.4 In relation to the questions posed by Bureau members Dr Sundaraman made the following points:

- (a) It was decided at the Wembley meeting that the Bureau should have 30 members made up of 1 chair, 3 vice-chairs, 2 co-chairs for each of the three Working Groups and the Task Force and 18 other members with the same IPCC Region mix as is the case with the current Bureau;
- (b) The Task Force Bureau should have 14 members with 2 from the IPCC Bureau;
- (c) The provisional agenda for the 19<sup>th</sup> Session indicates that the elections will be conducted in the following order; Chair first, IPCC Bureau second and Task Force Bureau third;
- (d) The IPCC Regions cover the same geographic area as the WMO Regions;
- (e) For purposes of Bureau membership each country can only represent one IPCC Region and that WMO Regulation 140 would be used to determine which Region that would be for a country with territory in more than one IPCC Region;
- (f) The Panel members would be asked to caucus on a Regional basis and bring forward a proposal for Bureau membership. Where consensus could not resolve membership elections would be held.
- (g) Voting would be by secret ballot (WMO Regulation 81);
- (h) Only those with valid credentials would be able to vote. For the IPCC credentials could be provided by the national focal point for the IPCC (provided each has been formally designated by the government), permanent missions based in Geneva, or by other more formal arrangements (eg., through Departments of State or Foreign Affairs or by Heads of State);
- (i) A quorum is 50% of the IPCC members plus one (ie. a simple majority of eligible voters – WMO Regulation 193). There are 192 members of IPCC, so a quorum at the 19<sup>th</sup> Session will be 97 members;
- (j) Ballots will be cast in alphabetical order. The French spelling of country names is used for the alphabetical determination;

- (k) A nominations committee will be formed to gather the nominations (and aid the reaching of consensus). This committee will establish a deadline for submitting nominations;
- (l) A credentials committee will examine credentials and report to the Panel on those member eligible to vote;
- (m) The IPCC will appoint two tellers to count the votes and report the outcome to the meeting Chair; and,
- (n) At the time of the election of the Chair, the current Chair, should he/she be a candidate for the position, recuses himself/herself from chairing the relevant segment(s) of the session.

9.2.5 The Session agreed that Prof. Bolin's expertise and knowledge would assist the process and further agreed that a proposal should be put to the Panel that the chair the nominations committee.

9.2.6 There was discussion of the proposal that rather than having a single Chair of the IPCC a co-chair arrangement be established. It was agreed that this issue should be put to the Panel for consideration early in its 19<sup>th</sup> Session.

## **10. CLOSING OF THE SESSION** (agenda item 10)

10.1 The Session closed at 1820 hours on Tuesday, 16 April 2002.

## AGENDA

Attendees may register from 0800 hours onwards on Monday, 15 April 2002.

### 1. OPENING OF THE SESSION (Doc. 1)

The Chairman, Dr Robert T. Watson, will call the Session to order at 1000 hours on Monday, 15 April 2002, at the International Conference Centre, 15, rue de Varembé, Geneva.

The Provisional Agenda will be submitted for approval. It may be amended at any time during the Session. Simultaneous interpretation will be available in Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish during the plenary meetings of the Session. All documentation will, however, be in English only. It is suggested that the working hours be from 1000 to 1300 hours for the morning meetings and from 1500 to 1800 hours for the afternoon meetings with breaks as called for by the Chairman.

There will be a cocktail reception for all attendees, interpreters and staff on the evening of 15 April 2002 at 1830 hours in the conference venue.

### 2. APPROVAL OF THE DRAFT REPORT OF THE TWENTY-FOURTH SESSION (Doc. 2)

The Secretary will submit the document for approval.

### 3. PROCEDURES FOR THE IPCC ELECTIONS

The purpose of this agenda item is to allow the Bureau to discuss the procedures that might be followed at the forthcoming elections of the new Chair and Bureau at the 19<sup>th</sup> Session.

### 4. FINALIZATION OF THE DRAFT TECHNICAL PAPER ON CLIMATE CHANGE AND BIODIVERSITY (Doc. 3)

The Chairman will submit the final draft for finalization by the Bureau with the Lead Authors.

### 5. MARRAKECH ACCORDS REQUESTS

The Chairman will introduce the agenda item.

#### 5.1 Geological Carbon Storage Technologies

It may be recalled that the Co-Chairmen and the TSU of Working Group III were requested to prepare a Scoping Paper on the subject, with appropriate consultation. The Chairman will invite the Co-Chairman to introduce the agenda item.

## 5.2 LULUCF-related issues

The Chairman will invite the TFI Co-Chairman, Mr Taka Hiraishi, to introduce the agenda item.

## **6. OTHER POSSIBLE SPECIAL REPORTS/TECHNICAL PAPERS**

### 6.1 Special Report on Climate Change and Water

The Chairman will introduce the agenda item. Dialogue on Water and Climate are proposing the possible Special Report.

### 6.2 Technical Paper, Levels of Greenhouse Gases Preventing Dangerous Anthropogenic Interference with the Climate System

It may be recalled that Vice-Chairman Prof. Yuri Izrael was requested to revise the Scoping Paper on the subject for consideration by the Panel. The Chairman will invite Prof. Izrael to report on the progress of the Scoping Paper.

## **7. TASK FORCE ON NATIONAL GREENHOUSE GAS INVENTORIES (TFI)**

It may be recalled that action by the Panel regarding procedures for agreement on the NGGIP products has been contemplated off and on.

The Chairman will invite the TFI Co-Chairman, Mr Taka Hiraishi, to introduce the agenda item.

## **8. IPCC COMMUNICATION STRATEGY**

The Chairman will invite Vice-Chairman, Dr R.K. Pachauri, Chairman of the Ad-Hoc Group on Communication Strategy, to introduce the agenda item.

## **9. FINANCIAL MATTERS**

### 9.1 Proposed Revised 2002 Budget, Proposed Budget for 2003, Forecast Budget for 2004 and Indicative Budget for 2005

The Secretary will introduce the agenda item.

### 9.2 Options for the IPCC Budget Management

The Chairman will invite Dr Leo Meyer, Co-Chairman of the IPCC Financial Task Team, to introduce the agenda item.

## **10. OTHER BUSINESS**

### 10.1 Best Practice Guidelines re HFCs – request from the European Union

## **11. CLOSING OF THE SESSION**

The Session is expected to close at 1800 hours on Tuesday, 16 April 2002.