Tables & Figures

Table 10.1. Comparison of average income, solid waste generation, and % recyclables (Cointreau-Levine, 1994).

Country	Low Income	Middle Income	High Income
Average Income	350	1950	17500
(1988 US\$/cap/yr)			
Municipal Solid Waste Generation	0.2	0.3	0.6
(t/cap/yr)			
% Recyclables	15	30	60

	kg BOD/day		Kg BOD		F	'ercentage	(%) of 2001 tota	al by industry				
		1	/worker		Primary metals	Paper	Chemicals	Food	Mining, Ceramics	Textiles	Wood	Other
		!	/day			Pulp		Beverages	Glass			
Year	1990	2001	1990	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001
OECD North America	T 3060963 A 1020321	T 2577720 A 859240	0.2	0.17	9.3	15.4	10.7	44.2	0.17	6.7	3.3	10
OECD Pacific	2162770 540724	1746561 436640	0.15	0.18	8	20.2	6	46	0.17	7.3	3	9
Europe	5153933 239606	4770100 234770	0.18	0.17	9.3	22.4	9	40	0.25	7.4	3	9
Countries inTransition	3403651 127910	2424562 161637	0.15	0.21	13	8	6.3	50	0.22	14	3.5	5
Sub-Saharan Africa	592665 511801	511801 511801	0.23	0.25	3	12	6.1	60	0.14	13	4	2.2
Northern Africa	409555 120073	387394 96853	0.2	0.18	9.7	4.4	6.3	49.6	0.45	24.5	1.3	3.7
Middle East	255047 26683	298519 29852	0.19	0.19	9	11.5	10	52	0.63	11	2.7	4
Caribbean, Central, & S America	1481857 87174	1322362 82445	0.23	0.24	4.5	11	8	61	0.15	11	2	2.5
Developing countries East Asia	8298777 830647	7678749 851881	0.14	0.16	11	14.2	9.8	36	0.31	15	4.1	9.5
Developing countries South Asia	1655622 351943	2045767 409045	0.18	0.16	5.3	7.3	6	42.3	0.37	35.4	1.3	2.1
Developed countries	10377666 600217	9094381 509000										
Developing countries	12693523 241000	12244592 248500										
T – Total A – Aver	l age I											

Table 10.2. Regional and total 1990 and 2001 generation of high organic industrial wastewater*: often treated in municipal wastewater systems (World Bank, 2005). *All other industrial wastewater discussed in Chapter 7.

Table 10.3. Estimated global trends for CH_4 and N_2O emissions from landfills and wastewater from UNFCCC national inventories and projections. (a) CH_4 and N_2O emission trends from landfills and wastewater from Scheele and Kruger (2005). N_2O trends from human sewage only. (b) GHG emissions from waste management from Konte, 2005 (<u>http://ghg.unfccc.int</u>). Includes landfill CH_4 , wastewater CH_4 and N_2O , and CO_2 from incineration of fossil C. Totals for Annex I countries only are shown in brackets. The year 2000 was not included because of a limited number of reporting countries. (c) SRES scenarios AIB and B2 (Nakicenovic et al., 2000). See discussion in text. [Mt $CO_2e/year$]

Year	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	2020	2050 (SRES AIB/B2)
(a) Landfill CH ₄	756	777	777	819	882	945	1008	
(a) Wastewater CH ₄	357	399	420	441	462	483	504	
(a) Total CH ₄	1113	1176	1197	1260	1344	1428	1512	
(b) Total CH ₄ [Annex I]	716 [646]	831[630]						
(c) Total CH ₄ (SRES AIB/B2)	1281/130 2							4011/46 62
(a) Wastewater N ₂ O	73	78	82	86	90	93	97	
(b) Total N ₂ O[Annex I]	41.5 [39]	42.5[1.5]						
(b) CO ₂ from In- cineration of fossil C [Annex I]	33.01 [33]	37.01[37]						

*Table 10.4. Qualitative comparison of GHG mitigation strategies from waste management. (IPCC, 2001, *modified using landfill gas recovery efficiency from Spokas et al., 2005)*

Mit	Mitigation options		Mitigation options Effectiveness		Effectiveness	Technical requirement	Applicability	Cost
	waste	reduction	high	low to high (depending on site)	high	low to moderate		
		recycling	high (if focused on organic waste)	low to moder- ate	high	low to moderate		
G 1 1 1 1 1 1	waste compos	composting	high (if well managed)	low	high	low		
Solid Waste	diversion	incineration	high	high	low to moderate (less applicable for developing coun- tries)	high		
	landfillir rec	ng with CH ₄ covery	high (*>85% of CH ₄ recoverable)	moderate	high (especially in the near-term)	low to moderate (depending on site)		
	waste	reduction	high	low to high (depending on site)	high	low		
	waste diversion aerobic treatment		high	low	high	low		
Wastewater			bic treatment high		low to moderate	moderate to high		
	CH ₄	recovery	Moderate to high	moderate	high (especially in near term)	low to moderate (depending		

Table 10.5. Cost analysis for GHG gases from waste management strategies compared to landfill-ing (Bates and Haworth, 2001). AD= anaerobic digestion; MBP=mechanical-biological pretreat-ment. The 2001 rate of landfill gas recovery for the EU as a whole was estimated to be 20% while70% was assumed to be the maximum % CH4 recovery over the lifetime of an individual site.

Option			Composting	Composting	AD	AD	MBP	Incineration	Incineration	Paper recycling
Applicability (1=UK; 2=Netherland	is)		1	2	1	2	1+2	1	2	1+2
cost per t waste treated	·									
capital cost	•1990/t w	waste/yr	154	182	172	208	154	228	517	455
operating cost	•1990/t w	raste	32	37	26	54	32	22	25	154
diposal of residues	∎1990/t w	vaste	8	8	3	0	20	0	0	0
income from energy	∎1990/t w	vaste	0	0	-5	-3	0	-15	-15	0
other income	∎1990/t w	vaste	-10	-10	-17	0	0	0	0	-207
avoided cost of landfilling	∎1990/t w	vaste	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
annualised cost per t waste trea	ated									
at 2% discount rate	∎1990/t w	vaste	13	27	-10	37	35	-9	12	-59
at 4% discount rate	∎1990/t w	vaste	15	29	-8	39	37	-6	18	-53
at 6% discount rate	∎1990/t w	vaste	17	32	-6	42	39	-3	25	-46
total reduction in GHG emissions										
Assuming 20% recovery of LFG	t CO2 eq/t	t waste	1.2	1. 2	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.1	1. 2
Assuming 70% recovery of LFG	t CO2 eq/t	t waste	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5
Cost-effectiveness(CH4 and CO2)										
Assuming 20% recovery of LFG										
at 2% discount rate	•1990/t C	CO2 eq	10	16	-8	29	28	-9	11	-47
at 4% discount rate	∎1990/t C	CO2 eq	12	17	-6	31	30	-6	17	-43
at 6% discount rate	•1990/t C	202 eq	13	19	-4	33	31	-3	24	-37
Assuming 70% recovery of LFG										
at 2% discount rate	•1990/t C	CO2 eq	28	41	-19	73	75	-23	31	-126
at 4% discount rate	•1990/t C	002 eq	32	46	-15	78	79	-16	47	-114
at 6% discount rate	•1990/t C	02 eq	36	51	-11	83	83	-8	65	-100

Table 10.6. Costs for mitigating CH₄ emissions from waste

a Cost-effectiveness of mitigating CH_4 emissions from waste in the Netherlands, including low- to high-technology strategies and assuming a 20 year project life (de Jager and Blok, 1996).

measure	capital cost	operating cost	profit	CH ₄ emission reduction	net cost	net cost
	\$/ t/yr (CH ₄)	\$/ t/yr (CH ₄)	\$/ t/yr (CH ₄)	kt/yr (CH ₄)	\$/t CH ₄	\$/t CO ₂ e
landfill CH ₄ recovery with onsite electrical generation	500	28	120	72	-48	-2.3
recovery and utilisation: upgrading of waste gas to natural gas quality	700	105	200	31	-35	-1.7
recovery: flaring	85	0.3	0	51	8	0.4
aerobic composting		950	650	5	300	14.3
anaerobic digestion		1,400	750	1	650	31.0
incineration		10,000	2150	6	7850	373.8

b. Range of investment costs for onsite electrical generation from landfill gas (Willumsen, 2003).

System component	Cost (2003 US\$/kW installed power)
landfill gas collection	200-400
(vertical wells or horizontal collectors; header)	
landfill gas recovery and conditioning	200-300
(blower/compressor, dehydration, flare)	
landfill gas utilization (engine)	850-1200
planning and design	250-350
Total	1500-2250

Policies and Measures	Activity Affected	GHG Affected	Type of Instruments
Waste prevention, reuse, and re	covery		
Extended Producer Responsibil- ity (EPR)	Manufacturing of products Recovery of used products Disposal of waste	CO ₂ CH ₄ E-gases	Regulation Voluntary
Unit pricing / Variable rate pric- ing / Pay-as-you-throw (PAYT)	Recovery of used products Disposal of waste	CO ₂ CH ₄	Economic incentive
Landfill tax	Recovery of used products Disposal of waste	CO ₂ CH ₄	Economic incentive
Separate collection and recovery of specific waste fractions	Recovery of used products Disposal of waste	CO ₂ CH ₄ F-gases	Regulation
Subsidies for activities such as reuse, recycling, and composting	Recovery of used products Disposal of waste	CO ₂ CH ₄	Subsidy
Promotion of the use of recycled products	Manufacturing of products	CO_2 CH_4	Regulation Voluntary
Reduction of landfill CH ₄ emission	ons and energy recovery from	n landfill g	as Description
waste in landfills	Waste	CH_4	Regulation
Standards for landfill perform- ance to reduce landfill CH ₄ emis- sions by capture and combustion of landfill gas with or without energy recovery	Management of landfill sites	CH ₄	Regulation
Incineration (waste-to-energy)		•	
Subsidies for construction of in- cinerator, combined with stan- dards for energy efficiency	Performance standards for incinerators	CO ₂	Regulation
Tax exemption for electricity generated by waste incinerator and for waste disposal with en- ergy recovery	Energy recovery from in- cineration of waste	CO ₂	Economic incentive
Reduction of post-consumer F-g	gas emissions	1	
Substitutes for F-gases used commercially	Production of fluorinated gases	F-gases	Regulation Economic incentive Voluntary
Collection of fluorinated gases from end-of-life products	Management of end of life products	F-gases	Regulation Voluntary
Emission reductions from waste	water treatment	•	
Collection of CH ₄ from waste water treatment system	Management of waste water treatment system	CH ₄	Regulation Voluntary
JI and CDM in waste manageme	ent sector	1	· · ·
JI and CDM		CO_2 CH ₄	Kyoto mechanism

Table 10.7. Policies and measures for the waste management sector.

Figures



 $(^{*}CO_{2} from biomass not included in national GHG inventories)$

Figure 10.1. Carbon flows through major waste management systems including C storage and gaseous C emissions. Note that CH_4 from landfills and CO_2 from incineration of fossil C are the emissions included in national GHG inventories.



 Landfill Methane Mass Balance

 Methane (CH₄) produced (mass/time) =

 Σ (CH₄ recovered + CH₄ emitted + CH₄ oxidized + CH₄ migrated + Δ CH₄ storage)

 [Bogner and Spokas, 1993]

- a. Landfill methane mass balance: pathways for methane generated in landfilled waste, including methane emitted.
- b. Pathways for N_2O and $CH_4\,emissions$ through wastewater systems.



Figure 10.2. Pathways for GHG emissions from landfills and wastewater systems.



Figure 10.3a. Annual rates of post-consumer waste generation 1971-2002 (*Tg*) using energy consumption surrogate.

Figure 10.3b. Minimum annual rates of carbon storage in landfills from 1971-2002 (Tg C).





Figure 10.4. Regional landfill CH₄ emission trends. [Mt CO₂e]

- (1) IPCC national inventory estimates and projections for 5-year intervals from 1990-2020 (Scheele and Kruger, 2005, in review). Labeled "Inv".
- (2) Annual emission trends from 1971-2002 using methodology from Bogner and Matthews, 2003.

a. Regional distribution of CH₄ emissions from wastewater and human sewage in 1990 and 2020.



b. Regional distribution of N₂O emissions from human sewage in 1990 and 2020.



Figure 10.5. Regional distribution of CH_4 and N_2O emissions from wastewater and human sewage in 1990 and 2020 (UNFCCC/IPCC, 2004).

The numbered regions are: 1) OECD N America; 2) OECD Pacific; 3) Europe; 4) Countries in transition; 5) Sub-Sahara Africa; 6) N Africa; 7) Middle East; 8) Caribbean and S America; 9) E Asia; 10) S Asia. See Table 10.3 for totals.



*MBP: Mechanical Biological Pretreatment.

Figure 10.6. Technology gradient for waste management: Low- to high-technology options applicable to major urban areas