

Socio-technical transitions and system innovation: Insights from sociology of innovation and evolutionary economics



Professor Frank Geels

Manchester Institute of Innovation Research The University of Manchester

> Keynote presentation IPCC scoping meeting, 15-18 August 2016 Geneva



Motivations

Background document: "Is there only one way or are there multiple ways to envisage transformation" (p. 6)

Phrased differently: Can we complement economics and IAMs with other social science insights?

Answer: Open up the black box of 'system innovation'



Rapers from Nature (and WIRES) in 2016

Current climate models are grossly misleading

Nicholas Stern calls on scientists, engineers and economists to help policymakers by better modelling the immense risks to future generations, and the potential for action.

Embed the social sciences in climate policy

David G. Victor calls for the IPCC process to be extended to include insights into controversial social and behavioural issues.

Make climate-change assessments more relevant

Stéphane Hallegatte, Katharine J. Mach and colleagues urge researchers to gear their studies, and the way they present their results, to the needs of policymakers.

A critical review of global decarbonization scenarios: what do they tell us about feasibility?

Peter J. Loftus,¹ Armond M. Cohen,^{2*} Jane C. S. Long³ and Jesse D. Jenkins⁴



Structure

1. STRN

2. System innovation and socio-technical transition

3. Multi-level perspective

4. Conclusions for 1.5 degrees



44d70fad

1. Sustainability Transitions Research Network (STRN)

Total members

<u>Multi-disciplinary</u> Innovation studies Evolutionary economics Political science Social movement theory History



^{44d7}Sustainability transitions: Increasing number of articles and (cumulative) citations



Empirical focus:

Much on electricity and transport Some on agro-food, heat/buildings water

Mostly (developed) country focus and/or change initiatives (city, community)



44d70fad

Types of journals: Innovation studies, energy, sustainability



Figure 2: Key journals in the field – relative number of citations and publications



Addressing climate change requires <u>transitions</u> to new systems (in energy, transport, agro-food, housing)



System transitions are multi-dimensional and co-evolutionary





Three examples for 1.5 degrees

• Electricity

Transport

Buildings



⁴⁴⁷ Innovations to reconfigure <u>electricity</u> systems

- Renewable energy technologies: Solar, wind, bio-power
- New entrants and (decentralized) business models: community energy, citizen producers, farmers
- Improved regime technologies: CCS, shale gas, nuclear
- Electricity storage, back-up capacity, capacity markets
- Grid extensions, super-grid, smart grid (with greater flexibility and bidirectional flows)
- Smart meters, demand-response tariffs, peak-shifting, new energy services



Innovations to reconfigure <u>transport</u> systems

- Cars with alternative power sources: BEV, HEV, FCV
- New fuel or charging infrastructures (maybe also 'vehicle-to-grid')
- New business models: Car sharing, car-pooling, Uber
- Smart ticketing and inter-modal transport systems
- ICT: self-driving cars, dynamic traffic management, intelligent transport systems
- Modal shift ('behaviour change') towards trains, trams, buses and cycling
- Revamping (local) transport systems: bus, tram, bike lanes, bike sharing, car sharing



Innovations to reconfiguring <u>built environment</u>

- Building retrofit: walls, windows, doors, lofts, cellars
- Heating technologies: boilers, heat pumps, passive house, district heating
- Smart homes, smart meters, tele-working, tele-shopping
- Smart cities, community redesign, compact cities, transit-oriented-development (mixed residential/commercial areas)



The challenge for 1.5 degrees

• So, green innovations exist in all sectors

The challenge is <u>accelerated</u> diffusion (and the decline of existing fossil-based systems)

^{44d70fad}**3. Multi-level perspective**





4d70tad

Endogenous momentum of <u>niche-innovations</u>

Economic and business dynamics:

- a) Learning-by-doing (learning curves)
- b) Increasing returns to adoption (scale, network externalities)
- c) Strategic games between firms and innovation races ('jockeying for position')

Socio-cognitive and cultural dynamics:

- a) Expanding social networks
- b) Building skills, knowledge, capabilities
- c) Develop positive discourses/visions to create socio-political legitimacy

Policies, power and politics:

- a) Supportive regulations, subsidies, investment
- b) Requires advocacy coalitions with political power (business, NGOs, media)



44d70fad

Existing regime is locked-in (path dependent)

Economic:

- Scale advantages, low cost
- Sunk investments (competence, infrastructure)

Social/organizational:

- Incumbent firms have vested interests, mind-sets, routines
- Alignment between social groups ('social capital')
- User practices, values, life styles

Politics and power:

- Uneven playing field (policies favour status quo)
- Opposition to policy change from vested interests



<u>Transitions involve multi-dimensional struggles</u> between niche-innovations and existing regimes

- <u>Business</u>: New entrants vs. incumbents
- <u>Economic</u>: Competition between 'grey' and 'green' products/technologies (uneven playing field)
- <u>Political</u>: Struggles between incumbent 'elites' (politicians, big firms) and other actors (cities, social movements, green entrepreneurs).
- <u>Cultural</u>: Discursive struggles about problem framing (market failure vs. planetary boundaries) and preferred solutions/pathways



4. Conclusions for 1.5 degrees

- Socio-technical transitions in <u>multiple</u> sectors: not just electricity (which is 'upstream'), but also agro-food, mobility and heat/buildings
- Accelerated diffusion of radical innovations, which includes price/performance, but also social acceptance, positive discourses, new business models, stronger policies, new markets
- Acceleration involves <u>multi-dimensional struggles</u>



Stronger policies and political will

Stronger policies crucial to accelerate change:

- Innovation policies to nurture solutions
- Environmental policies (regulations, taxes, subsidies) to change markets

Strong policies require **political will**, which is shaped by

- International pressure (agreements, targets and 'deals')
- Pressure from civil society, public discourse ('legitimacy', social acceptance)
- Pressure from business coalitions (new entrants and willing incumbents)
- Working exemplars 'on the ground' (to overcome 'scepticism')
- Positive narrative (co-benefits, green growth, jobs, quality of life)
- 'Bottom-up' pressure (cities, communities, green entrepreneurs)



Need for poly-centric governance (multiple policy styles)

<u>1) Goal-rational</u>: visons, targets, cost-benefit calculation ('<u>policymaking as</u> <u>managerial process</u>')

<u>2) Deliberate</u>: political feasibility, legitimacy, buy-in <u>('politics as art of the possible</u>')

<u>3) Emergent</u>: learning, experimenting, local projects (<u>policymaking as 'muddling</u> through', modulating ongoing dynamics)



Business strategies emerge from different styles (Mintzberg et al, 1998)